

**MACON COUNTY
EEHW COMMITTEE MEETING
AUGUST 16, 2012
5:30PM**

MEMBERS PRESENT

Chair Tim Dudley
Kevin Greenfield
Phil Hogan
Joe McGlaughlin
Kevin Meachum
Gary Minich
Don Westerman
Mark Wicklund
David Williams

COUNTY PERSONNEL PRESENT

Julie Aubert, Health Department
Jeff Scheibly, Animal Control
Jay Dunn, County Board Chairman
Tony Van Natta, Planning & Zoning Dept
Dennis Crawley, Mental Health Board
Angie Sarver, Planning & Zoning Dept

This meeting was called to order by Chair Dudley at the Macon County Office Building.

MINUTES

Motion to approve the minutes of prior meeting made by David Williams, seconded by Mark Wicklund and motion carried 9-0.

CLAIMS

Motion to approve the claims was made by Kevin Meachum, seconded by Mark Wicklund and motion carried 9-0.

ZONING

No report.

SUBDIVISIONS

Tony Van Natta stated he is presenting Bookers Acres which is a two lot minor subdivision. It is an estate from Janice Huthmacher, she is deceased. The owners of the subdivision that is being subdivided off his William and Terri Booker, 4681 Taylor Ave, Decatur, Hickory Point Township. At present it is 13.27 acres zoned Agriculture. On May 2nd, the Bookers acquired a variance to subdivide the property at 4675 N Taylor, which consist of 10 acres. The split will be Lot 1; the house will be located on this lot measuring 2.1 acres. The Bookers is purchasing 7.81 acres. All of the certificates have been signed and fees paid.

Kevin Meachum asked if the Bookers purchased the 7.81 acres and the 2.1 acres were sold at an estate sale. Tony Van Natta confirmed this.

Tony VanNatta stated all the adjoining ground is zoned Agriculture, and staff recommends approval.

Kevin Meachum asked if the 7.81 acres will remain Agriculture. Mr. Van Natta stated yes.

Mr. Meachum asked if the Bookers will make this all one lot. Ms. Booker replied it will remain separate, two different parcels.

Motion to approve the two lot minor subdivision was made by David Williams, and seconded by Mark Wicklund. Motion Carried (9-0).

REPORTS

Regional Office of Education

No report.

Veteran Assistance

No one was present from this Office, but they did leave a flyer to distribute regarding the World War II Memorial Dedication to be held on 9/7/12 at 12PM. Chair Dudley stated he would like to see a really good turnout from County Board Members.

Planning and Zoning

No report.

Health Department

Julie Aubert distributed a one page report for members to review. She stated their department is doing pretty well; currently at 67% into the fiscal the fiscal year with expenditures at 62.9% and revenue at 62.5%. The state has been paying. She is constantly on their back, and they currently owe \$239,000. This is really good.

The roof is completed. Top Quality has offered an extended warranty on two of the problem areas, which are the valleys on the roof. She will be presenting this to her board on Tuesday, Aug 21 for approval.

They received \$141,000 from the IL Dept of Public Health for a program called WE CHOOSE HEALTH. They will be working very closely with the School District as well as offering businesses workplace wellness health. Once up and going this will be for all private sector entities. Kevin Meachum asked if it would be offered to all School District or just District 61. Ms. Aubert stated this grant is just targeting the Decatur Public Schools.

They have a part-time dentist. He is offering root canals, and this has been a huge help. This has been very successful so far.

The Dept has had a couple of audits, which went really well. Ms. Aubert asked if there were any questions. No question noted.

Animal Control

Lt Scheibly distributed copies of Animal Control statistics for July. Very busy time of the year for Animal Control; intakes going down about 60 from previous month. They are running \$25 special for adoption to help alleviate their full capacity. This has been helping tremendously.

Environmental Management

Chair Dudley stated Environmental Management was excused.

CITIZENS REMARKS- PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

OLD BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

Mental Health Board (Tax Levy Only)

Chair Dudley stated there is a proposed budget from Mental Health; tax levy issue. He asked for Denny Crowley to speak.

Denny Crowley stated he is Executive Director to the Macon County Mental Health Board. He has had the privilege presenting the tax levy request to the Macon County Board for the last 25 yrs. Macon County Mental Health Board is an independent unit of County government created by Citizens Referendum in 1965. The Board does not use any Macon County General Fund dollars, nor does it use the Social Security or IMRF Levy. They cover everything out of their own levy. By State statute, County Board has two functions related to the Mental Health Board, one is to appoint members and the second is to approve the Mental Health Tax Levy. He is seeking approval of a tax levy and not a budget. He stated the first time in three years, the Mental Health Board is asking the County Board to consider a tax levy extension of \$2,530,048; this is an increase of \$217,608 or 9.4%. \$67,608 or 2.9% increase of this proposed increase relates to the fact that the consumer price index has risen 5.3% over the last two years. The remaining portion of the requested increase is \$150,000 to continue the operations of Macon Co Mental Health Court. For the last two years, the Mental Health Board has maintained services through using funds from its fund balance and alternative sources of income. Both of these sources are now maximized. Last year's tax rate was 1.42 mils; six years ago the tax rate was 1.5 mils. Six years ago the owner of a \$120,000 house paid \$60 in property taxes for Mental Health purposes. Last year the owner paid \$56.76; 5.4% less than in 2008.

For the Mental Health Board current fiscal year, (July 1st through June 30th), the board had a overall expense budget of \$3.8 million and \$61,962. 8.3% of this is budgeted for Board operations. This compares to the State average for Mental Health Boards of 14.87%. This means that the Macon County Mental Health Board puts slightly more than additional \$250,000 into services for Macon County residents than the typical 708 Board would. The Board purchases 56 different services from 33 different providers and has six different pools of funding for different services that directly go to residents or families to purchase particular services.

They help fund the States Attorney Office's Deferred Prosecution and are part of the Victim Witness Program that provides counseling for family members of murder victims or violent crime. Some of their funding helps with services provided at the jail, Public Defenders, and Court Services, which is required by State law, but no funding is provided for this. The packet provided by Mr. Crowley, consist of a Cover letter, Objectives, Mental Health Court information, the Current Summary of the Mental Health Board's budget (page 6). He provided a

notebook to the members of the full budget with all the specific details that the Mental Health Board saw in that notebook. Also included in this packet is a review of the Mental Health Board's government-wide full balance; list of the current board members and current employees' positions and salaries. The packet he provided reflects a 2.5% increase in salary, and a 3% increase reflected in the budget notebook. The reason for this, because with their fiscal year beginning July 1st, it is actually May that the Board adopts their budget. When he spoke with Chair Dunn and Amy Stockwell, he was informed the preferred figure is 2.5%.; this is what his budget will reflect.

Mr. Crawley read the handout that he distributed to members regarding the Macon County Mental Health Court Sustainability. He said the Federal Office of Justice has cancelled for the coming fiscal year, any grants related to Mental Health Court. The Mental Health Board has been approached and asked to keep the Mental Health Court operational. The organizations that are involved in Mental Health Court operations are Macon Co Court Services, States Attorney Office, Public Defenders, Heritage Behavioral Health Inc., and the Mental Health Board. He read the budget on Page 3 of the handout.

David Williams asked Mr. Crawley why are they reimbursing the States Attorney's and the Public Defender's Office, these are tax supported offices to begin with, and the folks that are using these services are taxpayers. Mr. Crawley commented this was an excellent question, and said those dollars are there, because the office views this as additional work to the normal work in prosecuting a case. He said for an attorney it involves different coordination time and other time. He stated this is the justification that has these dollars here at this point and time.

Mr. Crawley stated the next part of the packet has the Mental Health Board Objectives. He referred to Page 6, the current operational budget which overall expenses of a little more than \$3.8 million; with them using from their fund balance, \$238,000. He said System Support goes up, because this is their major once every 4 years planning activities. This is where they do major unmet needs planning. He stated they saved money each year in their fund balance. They put a portion of this aside. A major document is published called, "Unmet Mental Health Needs." This has been done since the early 1980's. This document is a major help for providers bring additional grant dollars to the County and competing for State, Federal and Foundation funds. This year they cut their operational expenses, which resulted in cutting services a little. He stated they have not asked for a tax levy increase for a period of time. They have used their fund balance and other sources of income to maintain services. They are looking 5 years out, and if they keep going exactly as they are now, there will be exactly 1/3 of a month left in their fund balance, 5 years from now. Recognizing this, they believe it is time to make some adjustments to keep the Board financially healthy. The Board has a policy of 4 months of fund balance and the unassigned General Fund balance; this is based on the recommendation from the State Comptroller's Office which promulgates the regulations and guidelines for local units of government related to finances. They call a unit of local government that has an unassigned fund balance of 4-6 months financially healthy; the one that has a year is being too rich and below 4 months is considered being unhealthy. This is the standard that the Mental Health Board works on. They cut a major position in the Board's Office. As of Sept 30th, the Associate Director is laid off and this position is eliminated. With services supported by local funds, they made a

\$22,000 cut or .95% cut to balance that out. The other side in the cut came from a loss of the State contract; and when they loss a State contract and a grant, they cut.

Chair Dudley stated on Page 6 under Combined Disabilities, the Difference should read - \$17,280. Mr. Crawley will make that change.

Mr. Crawley stated on Page 8 presents information about the Mental Health Board's Fund Balance. The Government Wide Fund Balance is \$1,910.688; consist of 3 separate components. He read these 3 components from the handout.

Kevin Meachum asked what will be the increase for a \$120,000 home at a 9.4% increase. Dennis Crawley stated he was hoping to be able to answer that questions, but he has not been able to obtain a projection on the assess evaluation, however what he can assure them, it cannot be higher than what it was in 2008, which is \$60 on a \$120,000 home. He stated it cannot exceed the legal maximum.

Mr. Meachum stated he wants to be able to have an answer if someone asks him, what it is going to cost them on their tax bill with the increase. Mr. Crawley stated the most it will cost \$2.24. Chair Dudley stated it would actually be \$3.24. Mr. Crawley agreed with Chair Dudley. Chair Dudley stated this would be on a \$120,000 home. Chair Dudley stated this is on Page 2 of the handout.

Kevin Greenfield asked Mr. Crawley if he projected it could be more than the ending fund balance stated on Section 7, Page 1 of the budget packet. Mr. Crawley stated if it was, it would not be by much. He stated he feels pretty safe about the numbers that are in the budget at this point and time. If he finds out differently, he would immediate share this with the Finance Committee.

Mark Wicklund wanted to add to David Williams commented that was said earlier. He stated he fails to see where the \$61,000 could be used toward services and prevention as oppose to offsetting somebody else's budget. This concerns him. Mr. Crawley stated he views this process as a process. He stated he is here presenting a proposal, and certainly this Committee and the County Board has the right to look at this proposal, and the right to propose changes.

Mark Wicklund asked how long the Mental Health Board has been paying those fees to the States Attorney and Public Defender. Mr. Crawley stated no fees have been paid out of local money to the States Attorney or Public Defenders Offices. Their expenses have been covered out of the two year implementation Federal grant.

Kevin Meachum stated the Mental Health Board has been an avenue to assist the States Attorney Office in saving money, as well as saving the taxpayers money over the 14 years he has served on the County Board. The Mental Health Board has been a very valuable tool to assist, evaluate and help the Community. He stated he understands the concerns of what this money is for, but this is reimbursing services for people that need the help. This program has kept a lot of people out of the County jail; help in keeping Court System cost down; and decrease in the jail population.

Jay Dunn stated Judge McCarthy came to him about 3 years ago and wanted to set up the Mental Health Court. He stated this does save a lot of different agencies money. He stated they received grant money to plan it and run it. It has only been in operation a year or so. He would like to see it continue, but it started out with the States Attorney Offices and he feels it should be in the Mental Health Dept where they have more expertise. Judge McCarthy wants to continue with this program, since they have lost a grant. Mr. Dunn is not going to support it, unless it goes through Mental Health. He stated as far as the salaries for the State Attorney and Public Defender, he can definitely look into that a little more. Mr. Dunn stated Mr. Crawley has to put this in his budget whether he actually gives the States Attorney money or not. Mr. Crawley stated they only fund through purchase of service. They are not talking about grants here. It would be an hourly fee per attorney hour, per Mental Health Court service. If the committees in the County Board deem that this is appropriate, he would recommend establishing a special designated fund for Mental Health Court purposes.

Kevin Greenfield asked if they don't do anything to the ending fund balance, would it depreciate in about 5 years. Mr. Crawley stated in 4-5 years. Mr. Greenfield asked why not wait for 2 or 3 years, before raising the levy, instead of doing it now. Mr. Crawley stated that he could respect that decision if that was made. The reason they are requesting to increase it by 2.9%, is because there has not been any increase for 2 years. It is a request that would help prevent a decrease in fund balance over time. If the County Board decides that this is not the appropriate year for the increase, he would go "ok" they will do what they need to do, and function by their priorities. He understands that this is a difficult time to consider tax increases, and he respects the due diligence decision the Board needs to make. He is not here saying there is a crisis. He is trying to avoid a crisis from happening 3 to 4 years from now.

Chair Dudley asked if the 6.5% of the 9% increase is for Mental Health Court. Mr. Crawley stated yes. Chair Dudley stated that is a lot of it right there.

Mark Wicklund stated it is an additional \$3.24 on a \$120,000 home. He stated this is less than a penny a day in tax money for this. It stated it is not that big of a jump. He understands the concern about raising the tax levy. Kevin Greenfield stated let's hope that every taxing body don't have that attitude. Mark Wicklund stated this is a service they do. Kevin Greenfield stated they are not going to cut any services at this time. Mr. Crawley stated they began to cut services this year. They would do their best not to cut services. Their major purpose is to fund services.

David Williams asked if the number of people they service, up this year. Mr. Crawley said yes. In FY 2011, over 8000 Macon County residents received help with Mental Health Board funds.

Chair Dudley stated he would entertain a motion. Kevin Meachum made the motion to forward this onto Finance, and seconded by Gary Minich.

Kevin Greenfield asked if the question is to move it onto Finance; or the question to pass. Chair Dudley stated that is the motion. Kevin Meachum stated he made the motion to send it onto Finance. Kevin Greenfield asked do they not want to take a stand on it. Kevin Meachum stated he wasn't asked to take a stand on it; he just made the motion to move it onto Finance. Mr. Greenfield stated Mr. Crawley said before he started, they are here to pass the levy that was his

question. Kevin Meachum stated Finance will deem that necessary and then they will have full chances at it, at full County Board, at the budget hearing.

Chair Dudley asked for an amendment. Kevin Greenfield said he will make an amendment that they vote on the question that Mr. Crawley asked them at the beginning of the meeting. That is whether to pass it, increase the levy or not; seconded by Phil Hogan. Chair Dudley stated motion made, and seconded it. Chair Dudley requested roll call.

Joe McGlaughlin asked if this was on the motion or the amendment. Chair Dudley stated we are going to vote on the amendment, which is to vote on the levy. Don Westerman asked Chair Dudley if this was proper, since there is a motion on the floor. Gary Minich stated you can amend the motion. Chair Dudley stated they had a motion and a second. He stated they can vote on the amendment and then go to the original question. Chair Dudley stated they were going to vote on the amendment, which is to vote on the tax levy only and then asked for roll call.

Jay Dunn stated you can vote on the levy, but it is still going to go to Finance and the full Board, it is going to be on the displayed budget. You need the full Board to vote on the levy. Chair Dudley stated that is exactly true, but he is going with the motion on the floor. Chair Dudley stated roll call vote, please.

Kevin Greenfield, no. Chair Dudley stated Kevin you are voting on the amendment to vote only on the tax, would you like to retract that “no”. Kevin Greenfield thanked Chair Dudley, and voted, yes. Joe McGlaughlin asked that the question be repeated. Chair Dudley stated we are voting on the amendment to vote on the tax levy only and send it onto Finance. Don Westerman said if there is a difference here; he is still confused. Chair Dudley stated it is being more specific; roll call vote, please. Kevin Greenfield, yes; Phil Hogan, yes; Joe McGlaughlin, yes; Kevin Meachum, no; Gary Minich, yes; Don Westerman, yes; Mark Wicklund, yes; David Williams, yes; Chair Dudley, yes. Motion Carried. (8-1)

Chair Dudley stated he'd entertain a motion to send the tax levy only vote to the Finance Committee. Gary Minich stated that is just what they had voted on. Chair Dudley stated the vote was just to do the tax levy only and not anything else. Gary Minich stated Kevin motion was to send the report to the Finance Committee. He feels they have an issue here, without a difference. Chair Dudley stated the agenda says Tax Levy Only and that is what we are sticking to. Chair Dudley we have an amendment to send it, but we want to clarify that it is the tax levy only. Chair Dudley stated you got to vote on an amendment and then you have to vote it on; you can't just leave it as an amendment. Kevin Greenfield stated his amendment was to vote for the levy or not for the levy. Chair Dudley stated that is correct. Chair Dudley stated we are going to vote on the amendment whether you are for it or against it to send it onto Finance. Kevin Meachum stated it is the same thing no matter what they do here. Gary Minich asked if they are voting on Kevin's motion, right now. Chair Dudley stated correct. Mark Wicklund wanted to clarify this, we voted on the amendment, that means we are in favor to approving the tax levy, so when the next vote comes up, and then it goes onto Finance, they will have (8-1) endorsement of approving the tax levy. Chair Dudley stated it is confusing. Chair Dudley stated if you amend it, you have to have a full vote of the Board. Chair Dudley stated roll call. Kevin Greenfield, no; Phil Hogan, no; Joe McGlaughlin, yes; Kevin Meachum, yes; Gary Minich, yes; Don

Westerman, yes; Mark Wicklund, yes; David Williams, yes. Tim Dudley, yes. Motion Carried. (7-2).

Macon County Board Resolution Expressing Concern Over Possible Piatt County Airport

Chair Dudley informed the members they have a resolution in front of them. The issue here is basically an airport is trying to be operated in Piatt County. The flight path goes into Macon County and they have some objection over this, this is what the resolution is for.

Gary Minich asked where this resolution came from. He said they heard from one guy that was objecting, and now they have another report that someone is objecting. He asked Chair Dudley who wrote the resolution. Chair Dudley stated he asked Randy Waks to write this resolution, as Chairman of EEHW. Mr. Minich stated he feels the committee does not have enough information, the Committee has only heard from one side. Chair Dudley stated both sides are here tonight, and you will hear from them.

Chair Dudley called on Mr. Walker as first speaker, and then he will call on Mr. Gaitros.

Jeff Walker introduced himself to the Committee and stated he lives at 6849 Cedar Lake Lane in Macon County. His property is approximately 350 ft off of the Piatt County/ Macon County line, south of the Sangamon River. He stated he owns about 140 acres. He spoke to the full County Board at the last Board meeting and he had previously given an extensive packet to the Macon County Attorney, Mr. Ahola and he also spoke to Randy Waks. They reviewed these packets, and he believes they turned over the packet for Chair Dudley to review it. He stated the contents of this packet were the steps that Mr. Gaitros did to try and get it passed through the Piatt County Board. Just recently, it was brought to Mr. Walker's attention that no one in Macon County was notified of this, except for a small thing in the newspaper that this was going to go through in Piatt County. No one in the neighborhood knew about this. The flight pattern for this airport would take the planes to a left hand bank, which would come right over Mr. Walker's property and quite a few other people's property. The packet that he had distributed to the committee illustrates the flight pattern, which the FAA states can fly at 150ft off the ground. This flight pattern directly goes through the flight pattern of the emergency helicopter (Air Flight) that goes from Decatur's two hospitals to Carle Clinic. He spoke with one of the pilots from Air Flight, and he told him the private airports are not directed or controlled by either the public tower in Urbana or Decatur. Mr. Walker asked the pilot how he would deal with this. He told him, if these planes were their transponder, he would know where the planes were; otherwise he would have to make visual corrections. This proposed airport is going north to south; the winds are usually coming out of the northwest. He stated planes take off and land planes going into the wind, which would put these planes taking off going north; they bank left, which would put them directly into this flight path. These planes would be flying over trees that are 70 to 100 ft tall. He feels this private airport is not good for him, his neighbors or the residents of Macon and Piatt County; even the people in the emergency helicopters. He stated his property values will be lower, and many other people surrounding this airport. He stated the Macon County Attorney saw fit to turn it over to Randy Waks, and Mr. Waks saw fit to turn it over to Chair Dudley.

Don Westerman asked if this landing strip has been used, by other planes, for a period of years. Mr. Walker stated it has not. Right now it is a pasture between two cornfields. It is approximately ½ mile south of the river. Don Westerman asked if there planes flying off an air strip south of Cisco. Mr. Walker believes there is a crop duster that flies out of there. He stated there are already 12 airports listed in Piatt County.

Chair Dudley called on Mr. Gaitros to address the Board.

Scott Gaitros introduced himself to the Board and stated he lives at 1032 N State Rte 32, Cerro Gordo. This is about 4 ½ miles from the south river and 4 ½ miles from Decatur, Rte 32. It is one mile into Piatt County, one mile from the County line. He has been a dentist in Decatur for about 30 yrs, and attended Millikin. He owns two office building and employees 15 people. Mr. Gaitros stated he would be concern with the information that Mr. Walker has been given. He wanted to start out by saying an RLA is a restricting landing area. Everything he is saying here tonight can be verified by calling Steve Long, his phone number is 217-785-4214. Mr. Long runs all the airports in Decatur and Illinois. He does safety for O'Hare. He is way up in the IDOT Division of Aeronautics. Mr. Long knows this case inside and out.

Mr. Gaitros stated that RLA has a lot of restrictions; you can only have 6 aircrafts, no commercial endeavors whatsoever. You can crop dust your own crops off it. He gave a brief description of a RLA. A private own airport has no federal taxes or any kind of taxes; it is supported by the owner. There is no government in it at all. His is a grass run strip, 1600 ft long. You cannot take big airplanes on and off this, just small light aircrafts. Mr. Gaitros summarized the history of his case with Piatt County to the members.

Mr. Gaitros stated he has had an appraiser statement stating there is no deprecation to this area due to the RLA in the area.

Mr. Gaitros distributed a packet and reviewed the information with the committee. He stated there is a rule that he cannot fly within 500 ft of a building. He said other than his house and his son's house; he can say he doesn't do that. He stated he stays 1000 ft away from Mr. Robock's?? place. He is the neighbor that is against this. He stated there has been not one formal complaints made to the Police Dept or FAA or IDOT about his flying.

Kevin Greenfield addressed Chair Dudley and asked him if there was a reason why they were listening to all this. Chair Dudley stated he understood this, but he is trying to be fair. Mr. Greenfield stated they are not Piatt County. He really does not know what their purpose is.

Mr. Gaitros stated he respects Mr. Greenfield's comment, and will quickly summarize his remarks. Mr. Gaitros said the flight pattern that Mr. Walker shows, is recommended by FAA, this does not exist for RLA or private airports. Steve Long can verify this. Mr. Gaitros gave a rebuttal to Mr. Walker's remarks.

Mr. Gaitros stated he feels this does not affect Macon County.

Chair Dudley stated the FAA flight path does show that the flight path off an airport would be built on Mr. Gaitros's property does go into Macon County. Mr. Gaitros stated that is wrong. It shows the suggested flight path of all airports. He stated the flight path is straight in and straight out for most RLA and private airports. This is set up through IDOT.

Chair Dudley asked where he flies out of now. Mr. Gaitros said out of Decatur.

Chair Dudley stated the whole idea for this resolution has nothing to do with Mr. Gaitros personally. The resolution was designed so this flight path does not bother anyone in Macon County. Mr. Dudley stated this EEHW committee is looking out for the welfare of Macon County residents. Mr. Gaitros stated he understands this and he respects this.

Chair Dudley stated Piatt County is going to do what they want to do. He stated this issue needs to be taken up with Piatt County.

Don Westerman stated if there is no motion to approve or disapprove, will this just die or does Chair Dudley want them to make a decision. Chair Dudley stated they can table this, if that would be the wish of the Board.

Phil Hogan stated he doesn't want to take up anymore of Mr. Walker's time, Mr. Gaitros's time or the Committee's time. He stated this is a Piatt County zoning ordinance issue, and he does not know why it is on tonight's agenda. Chair Dudley stated it is on the agenda, because the proposed air space was suppose to come over into Macon County.

Gary Minich stated the board did not do the research on this, and just took somebody's word on this. Chair Dudley stated the position they are taking, is that this flight path does not bother anyone in Macon County.

Mark Wicklund asked if there is a number of aircraft that can come into Mr. Gaitros air strip. Mr. Gaitros stated a grass air strip 1600 ft long is not going to support many aircrafts. At present time he has 3 aircrafts, and only his will be using the air strip. He stated there is nothing there now, it is just a proposal.

Don Westerman made the motion to adjourn, and seconded by Gary Minich. Roll Call taken, Kevin Greenfield, yes; Phil Hogan, yes; Joe McGlaughlin, no; Kevin Meachum, no; Gary Minich, yes; Don Westerman, yes; Mark Wicklund, yes; David Williams, no; Tim Dudley, no; Motion Carried. (5-4). Meeting adjourned at approximately 6:56PM.

Minutes submitted by Angie Sarver, Macon County Planning and Zoning Dept